Draft Meeting Summary Notes		Department of Health SRDC Safety Workgroup 3-15-05			
Facilitator:	Nancy Bernard		Note Taker(s):	Nancy Bernard Mark Soltman	
Attendees:	Ed Foster, WFIS; Bill Chaput, CEFPI; Lisa Pound; WSRMP (Mary Sue Linville's alternate); Mark Cooper, parent; Thelma Simon, parent; John Wolpers, EHD; Greg Baldwin, Riverview SDBoD, WSSDA; Shirley Carstens, SNOW, Claire Olsovsky, IEH; James Green, community; Scott LaBar, ESD 112; Steve Mains (Julie's Awbrey's alternate), Julie Awbrey, Spokane Regional Health District; Eric Dickson, ESD 101; Don Leaf, WSEHA; John Richards, OSPI; Mike Cotey, L&I Karen Van Dusen, UW; Mary Miller, L&I Paul Clark, WAMOA; Dave DeLong, TPCHD; Kitty Johnson-Woods, EVSD; Scott Emry, LWSD				
Absent:	Jim Kerns, ESD 101; Linville, WSRMP; Ste	Mary Sue	Guests:	Dave Bradley, ECY Dave Waddell, KCLI	НWMР

WSDFM;

AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION			
Introductions Comments on summary notes from 2-22-05	No corrections to notes			
Playgrounds	Discussion: -consider review of installation by a Certified Safety Inspector instead of the inspection -Putting in WAC presents a difficulty, pulling in licensing division of the state -consider striking last sentence, put into guidance documentneed to have someone who knows what they're doing to do the inspectionscompetent, qualified individual -language should focus on the standard for playground equipment, not the qualifications of inspectors -language needs to be clear, but avoid problems. Require inspection by trained and qualified personnelneed to standardize the inspectors. "qualified" is very problematic -many people take the class for the knowledge, but not the examSuggestions: Separate out so we can focus on the first issueSuggested compromise: Have DOH put in a guidance document, create a certification program.			
ACTION	Vote on amended A, B, C, E, F, & A1.			
Playground Inspector Certification	Discussion -Playgrounds are one small part of school safety. Do we want to limit it to playgrounds, or safety training in general? -Trained people, architects, engineers wouldn't need certification -problematic when state licensing gets involvedfocus on equipment, DOH needs to address training -issue of frequency not addressed – underlying problem			
ACTION	Vote on A.			
Playground Surfacing				
ACTION	Dropped. Covered in other proposals. Amend language to include surfacing where equipment is mentioned.			

AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION		
ACTION Career & Technical Education	-Recommend splitting second part of this into B. DiscussionDue diligence issue in acquiring propertyRequirement should be for Phase 1, not practical to require a Phase 2 if not necessaryB is for existing sitesSoil contamination is not the only thing that can come up in a Phase 1require schools to evaluate soils -who are we asking to evaluate past practices? -DOH could develop guidance -some LHJs requires evaluation for site reviews – any known potential contamination. Difficult to know how to evaluate. Site review occurs anytime a project occurs on school propertyECY is developing standardsB is very different from A, not dependent on a site reviewhow can this be the Health Department's responsibility? -too onerous on the schools -what are you going to do if there isn't compliance? -need something for preexisting playgroundsminimum standards need to protect children. We know we have existing schools and playgrounds with issues where children are being exposed. If you know your playground is on a pile of mine tailings, it should be remediatedCould phrase that in the event schools discover past practices that could impact health, the LHJ is consulted on actions. Vote on A, altered B, new C Discussion: -Need to teach students, Jr & Sr high WISHA standardsVoc Ed only funded at the HS level, OSPI guidelines only apply to Voc Ed certified teachersUsRMP: recommend schools hire Voc Ed certified teachersInspect to WISHA standards. Accepted standard of care for standardsWork place learning guidelines apply off site under OSPI. OSPI believes that student learners need to follow same standardsElaborate in definition section of WAC what "unsafe" conditions meanTeacher safety training - this would need to be a recommendation from the SBOH for the SBOEUpdated at appropriate intervals instead of annually, as determined by DOH &		
ACTION	-B will be covered when Chemicals are discussed in general. Vote: A, C, D.		
Science Labs	Discussion: -Decided that science labs should be included in the proposals for CTEthe written contract part. There has to be a responsible person. Not really local health jurisdictions responsibility. Administrator's responsibility. New D craftedProposal E on Science lab standards dropped -crafted F, which would not cite the National Science Teacher Assn. standards, which are not necessarily applicable, and would require guidancePrudent Practices is used by high level labs as a benchmark. Could be used to develop a lab design guides. Could go into the K12B will be addressed with chemicals in general.		
ACTION	Vote on D and F.		
Chemical Storage, Use, Disposal, and Clean-up	Discussion: -on specifics on the proposal wordingA & C will be addressed at next meeting.		

AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION				
ACTION	Voted on B & D.				
Wrap Up	We will finish the decision agenda at the next meeting. Remaining issues: -Chemicals (A&C) -Treated Wood -Safety concerns with Athletics, PE, and Sports -First Aid and CPR Training -Integrated Pest Management Please send comments on the outstanding items to Nancy.				
H	ANDOUTS	NEXT MEETING			
Agenda Summary Notes from 2-22-0	5	April 5, 2005 Sending from the Burien Puget Sound ESD			